No. 25 September 1969

Spearhead

one shilling



EXPOSED:

REDS BEHIND ULSTER'S AGONY

Nationalist comment
WHAT WE THINK
on the month's news

Ulster: How did it start?

For the last 30 years, Catholics and Protestants in Ulster have lived together in peace. Not a wholly affectionate peace, perhaps, but peace nevertheless.

Then a few months ago violence broke out in Londonderry, and spread in due course throughout the Six Counties. Since then there has been very little peace.

We were sceptical when the initial clashes were explained over the news-media as being just a revival of the ancient enmity between Catholic and Protestant. It is not in the nature of things for trouble to break out after a long period of calm and at the same time be spontaneous and locally inspired.

This scepticism was strengthened when it became known that active in the ranks of the so-called 'Catholic' element were several leading Communists (a doubtful alliance, if ever there was one!)

At this point our assessment of things was that the international Left had been quietly studying the Ulster situation for many years with a view to exploiting it when the opportune time came (no doubt when there was a Labour Government in Westminster). We refrained so far from any hard and fast assertions, however, because we had not enough facts to go on, and facts were not a commodity which the Press this side of the Irish Sea seemed very good at supplying.

Influence of Left

Our hunch seemed all the more right when it was announced that the rebellious elements had grouped themselves together under the banner of 'Civil Rights', a slogan which seems to roll off leftist lips as naturally as the squawking of a rooster at dawn. 'Civil Rights' candidates duly put themselves forward at elections, and one of them, Miss Bernadette Devlin, was sent to Westminster. One paper during this period got nearer the truth than it probably intended by remarking on the interesting fact that by no means all the Civil Rights candidates were Catholics. What was it then that they had in common? They were all prominent members of left-wing political organisations.

Throughout the whole affair the behaviour of the news-media had been extraordinary, and never more so than when Miss Devlin arrived in London to take her seat. Every trick was used to make her appear as a latter day Joan of Arc, gallantly crusading for freedom and justice. Yet from every word she spoke it was clear that she was just another crude rabble-rouser from out of the familiar stable of the extreme Left. Much was made of Bernadette's agonised concern for 'her people', their living con-

BELFAST: HOMES DESTROYED

titions, their 'oppression', etc. No attempt was made to spotlight her political background, a guide to which was supplied by a telegram sent by her to Comrade Tariq Ali, no less. This telegram, reproduced here, ended with the words: "Long live the socialist worker republic".

Meanwhile reports of the Ulster troubles continued to emphasise that they were between 'Protestants' and 'Catholics'!

Strings behind Troops

On August 12th, these troubles erupted again on a scale worse than anything yet. Guns and bombs made their appearance, and several people were killed. At last the affair became too much for the Police and troops were called in. Two things became noticable at this point. First, the Press in one voice referred to 'British' troops, which seems strange, since, as Ulster is an integral part of Britain, it is difficult to see what other troops were in the offing. More interesting still is the fact that the troops seem to have been welcomed by the 'Civil Rights' brigade and their supporters, whereas one would have thought that as a symbol of 'British oppression' they would have been literally the last straw. One can only assume that the 'Civil Rights' leaders had had

SPEARHEAD

Published in support of the NATIONAL FRONT

Published monthly. Editor: **John Tyndall**; Asst. Editor: **Martin Webster**; Design: **Peter Mc Menemie**. *Editorial Office:* ***The Nationalist Centre,*** *10 Birkbeck Hill, London S.E. 21. Telephone: 01 – 670 0118.*

Monthly at Last!

As from this issue, *Spearhead* will appear as a monthly journal.

For a long time we have pursued this important milestone. Two factors have enabled us now to reach it. Firstly, sales are booming at a tremendous rate. New subscribers are coming in fast, and ever increasing groups of people are taking bulk supplies for distribution in their areas. Secondly, a small body of loyal readers has subscribed to a fund set up to meet deficits arising in the first year of publication as a monthly.

Readers will notice a very slight decrease in page size. This has been made in the face of mounting printing costs, and in preference to raising our price. We feel sure that readers will not mind this change, which amounts to a loss of about one-thirteenth of the total word volume, if they are getting *Spearhead* twice as often.

Our last issue (No. 24) proved to be one of the most popular ever, and this is largely due to the new ideas and talents that are available as a result of the recent additions to our editorial staff. We hope that this improved standard can be maintained. We shall certainly do our best to see that it is.


POST OFFICE TELEGRAM

+=+DE163 3.31 LONDON T 49

TARIQ ALI 7 CARLISLE ST W1 =

CONGRATULATIONS AND FRATERNAL GREETINGS TO BLACKDWARF ON FIRST BIRTHDAY ISSUE NORTHERN IRELAND WORKERS GREATFUL FOR YOUR SUPPORT IN THE PAST IN STRUGGLE FOR JUSTICE CONFIDENT OF

POST OFFICE TELEGRAM

YOUR SUPPORT AS STRUGGLE DEVELOPES IN THE FUTURE STOP LONG LIVE THE SOCIALIST WORKER REPUBLIC

BERNADETTE DEVLIN +

7 W1 + TSO TGMS LN +=+

For free repetition of doubtful words telephone "TELEGRAMS ENQUIRY" or call, with this form at office of delivery. Other enquiries should be accompanied by this form, and, if possible, the envelope.

RED SONG OF BERNADETTE
This cable to Tariq Ali tells its own story.

it on good authority that the troops were to be used to enforce their own demands. Few commentators during these hours seriously believed that the troops were being supplied to the Ulster Government without strings. What were the strings?

Imported Terror

Meanwhile other facts came to light. It became established that large numbers of foreign students had appeared in Ulster and were seen actively engaged in acts of terror. An American student, believed to be associated with the Revolutionary Socialist Students' Federation, was seen at the London School of Economics, Essex University and Leeds University recruiting mobs for Civil Rights work in Ulster. Students who volunteered were told that their expenses would be met, and if they agreed to stay on in Ulster for the troubles they would be paid £50 each. In Ulster, the recruits were met by Bernadette Devlin and prominent Communists and IRA organisers. Some of them were given the job of supplying Roman Catholic country folk with crates of whisky and leaflets on how to manufacture Molotov cocktails. Others were told to prepare for a delivery of rifles from the South.

Others recognised in Ulster were French students from Nanterre who had been in evidence shortly before at an Anarchist camp in Cornwall. To this happy breed may be added three American CIA agents who have been positively recognised. The latter fact should not cause surprise in view of President Nixon's recorded statement to the effect that if he ever became President, he would "bring financial pressure on the British Government to end partition in Ireland". In fact, unlimited funds are clearly behind the anti-British campaign in Ulster.

Confirmation of interference from abroad came from no less a person than Civil Rights leader Sean McDermott, who said: "In Derry I saw at least eight French students, some Americans and Germans taking part."

Dishonest

Viewed against this background of facts, the line taken by the Press and broadcasting services throughout Britain that the conflict is essentially a local issue between Catholic and Protestant is a downright dishonest statement of the situation. Sinister international forces, extremely well organised and financed, and employing trained terrorist gangs, are deliberately fomenting a revolutionary situation in a region of the United Kingdom with the clear object of separating that region from the United Kingdom. In the face of this situation, the behaviour of the Westminster Government defies all comprehension.

> **THIS MONTH...**
> *Because of the overwhelming importance of the Ulster situation, the entire contents of* **What We Think** *are devoted to it.*

In the first place, why did it allow the gathering in Cornwall of large numbers of anarchists who quite clearly were bent on crossing the sea to Ulster to wage revolutionary war, and why has it allowed meetings to take place in various parts of Britain for the purpose of recruitment of more mobsters bent on terrorist acts on British territory?

Secondly, why did it not react in the strongest possible terms to the outrageous speeches by politicians of the Irish Republic implying that Ulster belonged to Eire, and to the provocative act of calling up Eire forces to the Ulster border?

Thirdly, why has it not made clear to the country the facts that every intelligent observer must recognise — that the troubles in Ulster are directly the responsibility of extreme left-wing elements organised and financed from abroad and part of an international campaign of subversion against our country? Why has it allowed the myth to persist that events are merely the result of local religious bigotry?

One might ask finally — and here we repeat — why are the troops being sent into Ulster? If they have just been sent there to help the Police, why did the Police disappear from the streets the moment they arrived? Have they been sent to support the Police or to REPLACE the Police? If the latter, for what purpose other than to usurp the authority of the Ulster Government in obeisance to the rabble of Reds, Anarchists, arsonists, fifth-columnists and criminal thugs masquerading under the devious banner of 'Civil Rights'?

The British public has a right to an answer to all these questions, and many more. It also has a right to expect its elected representatives to make it clear to the world that they will in no circumstances tolerate foreign interference in affairs taking place on British soil. Most of all, it has the right to expect that the strongest possible application of the law will be exercised in dealing with those who have brought chaos and murder to Northern Ireland.

Where We Stand

This journal takes no sides in religious squabbles, which it believes absurd. It is not interested in supporting Protestants against Catholics or Catholics against Protestants. We support those who stand for the preservation of Ulster as a part of Great Britain. We oppose those who aim at the separation of Ulster from Great Britain. This is the issue at stake in Ulster now — a POLITICAL issue, first and foremost, and only secondly a religious one.

Let the public become aware of this fact and let the public know the true purposes of the powers bent on undermining civil authority in Ulster beneath the cloak of religious grievances.

This is the duty of an honest and informed Press. We at least will not shirk that duty.

A.K.

National Front Leader A.K. Chesterton talks to SPEARHEAD

When and how did you first become involved in the Nationalist cause?

My early childhood was spent on the Witwatersrand, and although I have long since come to deplore antagonism between Afrikaners and British there is no doubt that its existence did much to strengthen my belief, held throughout life, that it is a duty to stand by one's own people. I can never remember a time when I was not strongly pro-British, but in the overall world context this does not prevent my being a firm supporter of South Africa.

Which persons / books have had the greatest influence on your political thinking?

The books which in recent times have most influenced my political thinking were written by Father Denis Fahey, A. N. Field, Nesta Webster and—with certain reservations—C. H. Douglas.

Have you in the past held a strong political opinion on which you have subsequently changed your mind?

I have not changed any strong political opinion about what the British destiny should be. If I had opted to live, not in the land of my fathers, but in South Africa, my party affiliations would no doubt have undergone a change, but as things are I take care not to meddle in South Africa's domestic policies.

Could you amplify what you mean by the 'British destiny'?

Of all modern nations on earth, the British alone have shown any talent for influencing peoples of other races. I think that the withdrawal of British influence has been a uniformly bad thing throughout the world. Sensible Africans and Asians recognise this fact, and many of them would welcome the return of our presence—if not in the old form, then in some form adjusted



A. K. CHESTERTON

to changing times. Indeed, unless there is a resurgence of the British spirit and of the British will to govern, either directly or indirectly, the future of mankind would seem to me to be chaotic.

By concentrating their great energies on outer space, the Americans may be able to do marvellous things on the craters of the moon, but the function of keeping order and safeguarding the sea routes East and West of Suez is something which seems to be completely beyond the American genius, and I believe that a resumption of this more earthly duty constitutes what I mean by the British destiny.

You often speak of the 'British Spirit'. How do you define this?

It is difficult to define what I mean by 'the British spirit'. Notions such as 'playing the game', although considered antiquated by liberal prigs, still seem to me to hold good in life as in sport. The British spirit was made most manifest to me during the wars, especially by the Cockneys I had the honour to command. Their coolness and sense of humour in times of great danger were an inspiration. Leadership based on bold initiative but without arrogance seems to be another aspect of the British spirit.

Some patriots feel that Nationalism can only be effective when allied to a strong religious faith. Is this your opinion?

I think that to be effective nationalism has to be based on tradition and our own traditions have been moulded to a very large extent by Christianity. It is not my view, however, that nationalism depends on any particular set of religious dogmas, which can be divisive rather than unifying. That is why I think it essential for a nationalist movement to be conducted along secular lines.

Patriotic organisations — perhaps through their own fault — have a 'reactionary' image. How is this to be overcome?

Our enemies will always seek to give us a 'reactionary' image. When progress runs amok, reaction is a sign of health. We must show in our policy that we are not 'reactionaries' in the sense of being the stooges of High Finance and Big Business. In reality we are the only party which actively opposes the power of international finance and capitalist cartels.

A great deal of your time has been spent in Africa. What has this taught you about race relations?

In relating this question to British problems, what strikes me is the sheer human tragedy that results from miscegenation. The Zulu, the Basuto or the European South African (whether English-speaking or Afrikaner) all have a sense of their own community, a sense of belonging which I think is very necessary for human beings. But the progeny of a mixture of white and black or brown lacks a sense of communal identity, and therefore is much more subject than other people to general deterioration.

As an instance of this, there is very little corporate feeling among the Cape Coloured people; their social structure is quite pitiably fragmented—very largely on a question of how white or black they are, and one finds a pathetic attempt to 'make for white'—that is to be accepted as a European. Even when this happens, and a coloured man marries a European girl, or the other way about, there is a constant dread of atavistic tendencies or throwbacks in the offspring. This fragmentation, in denying these unfortunates a corporate identity, also seems in most cases to deny them a corporate conscience.

If the advocates of racial integration in Britain were to be made aware of these undoubted facts concerning mixed marriages, they would realise that their agitation for integrating the different races in this country, so far from being a kindness, is in reality cruel to those generations still to be born.

You are known as a supporter of White Rhodesia but have strongly criticised Ian Smith. What are your reasons?

I think that the image of Ian Smith as a hero of the Right Wing is completely false. He stands, if anything, to the left of centre, and I know as an absolute fact that, had it not been for one or two objectors in his own Cabinet, he would have fallen in with the wishes of the British Government as outlined in the joint memoranda which he issued with Harold Wilson after the talks on Tiger. He has accepted multi-racialism—as in fact was proved by the last referendum, and now he talks about eventual 'parity' of representation between white and black, although he knows that all such talk in the settlements reached with the British Government by countries north of the Zambesi have only meant eventual black political domination.

How can we in this country mend the breach with Southern Africa?

I don't think any healing of the breach between Britain and the people of Southern Africa which would not be a betrayal of the latter is possible until the old established parties in Britain are chucked out of power, and the National Front assumes that power for the purpose of upholding European civilisation in every part of the world.

What are your views on British nuclear policy?

An analogy I often use is that a man can cope with a burglar if he is armed with a revolver but that he won't cope at all well if he is literally festooned with revolvers. I don't think it's necessary for this country to have enormous stockpiles of nuclear weapons, but it should have its own nuclear weapons which are independent of any foreign country. And given a re-creation of the British world-system their disposal all over the globe, from Canada to Australia, New Zealand, South Africa, Rhodesia and so on would enable us to offer a quite unique deterrent in terms of geopolitics.

Many people believe the Beaverbrook press to be a guardian of British interests. As Lord Beaverbrook's literary adviser for some years, what are your views on this?

I think that Beaverbrook's concern with the British Empire was largely a projection of the Beaverbrook ego, and while I think it is true that the *Express*, more than any other national newspaper, does have some concern for British interests, on that very account whenever it takes two paces forward it seems to safeguard itself by taking three paces back.

Beaverbrook was well aware of the nature of the financial forces ranged against Britain, but when I asked him one day why he never allowed any mention of that fact to appear in any of his papers, his reply was: "The bastards can tear the flesh off your bones!"

You often speak of the conspiratorial nature of the campaign to destroy Britain and build a World Government. Is there one conspiracy or several?

There is—and to my mind this is obvious beyond the need for argument—a conspiracy to establish One World. Various bodies, not all of them related, are striving to attain this objective and the conspirators are pragmatic in their methods, using many seemingly diverse approaches. The reality of the conspiracy is to be judged by the enormous successes it has already achieved.

What do you feel are the main targets which the Internationalists have set themselves in the 1970's?

A continuing attack on all national currencies as international media of exchange, so that there will be, created out of the Special Drawing Rights (doubtless renamed) the sole international currency, to be manipulated at will by the people who run the International Monetary Fund. Concurrently the advance to One World will be furthered through regional federation—the European Economic Community, Atlantic Union and so on.

What advice do you have for those who feel that the salvation of Britain can only be achieved by 'saving the soul' of the Conservative Party?

My advice to those who rely for salvation on the Conservative Party is that they should become long-distance swimmers, to be able to reach some such place as Sark when the crisis comes!

What are the major objectives which the National Front must attain during the next five years?

I think the major objectives of the National Front during the next five years should be (a) to make the British peoples aware of the systematic betrayal of their national interests and (b) to lay the foundations of National Front power to make the British future secure.

What do you feel are the signs that the National Front is making an impact on the political scene?

World-wide publicity and attack.

What is your advice to the young people of the National Front?

To keep on until the fight is won or go down fighting.

PETER McMENEMIE

SEX&

RACE

THE problem of sexual relationships between white and black, particularly between a white woman and a black man, is a subject rarely discussed by Nationalists. It is a touchy subject and one which superficially appears to weaken the racialist case. Consequently it is a subject which the Left has gleefully seized upon.

The case for racialism is so strong a case that it stands up to any argument. Silence or dogmatism will not strengthen it, and by recognising the unpleasant and seemingly contradictory fact of inter racial sex and examining it, this case emerges very much strengthened. A recently published book on sex and race reinforces my belief.

Astonishingly, *Sex and Racism* is written by Calvin C. Hernton, an American Negro sociologist. For a man in his position, he has achieved a work of remarkable intelligence, interest and objectivity. It is all the more remarkable for its complete absence of propaganda.

The most important point Hernton makes is that sex mysticism is rife in both black and white communities concerning the opposite race. He argues that this is because of the almost complete segregation of the races—socially if not legally. Such mysticism will result in an aura of what I might describe as 'forbidden fruit' — a certain sexual stimulant.

Another important factor is the female psyche itself. Hernton says: "Guilt, or a sense of self-loathing, is often among the ingredients that cause white women to seek refuge in the arms of a negro." The white woman knows that she will be ostracised by the community for her actions. "This," argues Hernton, "is exactly what she is seeking, what she needs to atone for the nameless guilt that eats at her self-esteem." Hernton even notes that masochistic tendencies are evident in white women engaging in sex with negroes, and says: "Many white women enjoy 'fearing' negroes because this adds to the thrill of being 'overpowered' by them." Just how unnatural the relationship is is summed up by the revelation that white women even married to negroes frequently invoke racial insults in rows with their husbands.

'TALL STORIES'

The third factor in inter-racial sex is the tall story' factor, where tales of the amazing sexual prowess of the negro are bandied around. There is no evidence to suggest that the sexual performance of the negro is any different from that of the white man, and there is a reciprocal legend in the negro community regarding the white man! Tall stories can start either from genuine experience (a negro may be unusually excited by white flesh), or from white women who, having involved themselves with negroes, will try to justify their shame and guilt by introducing other women to their ways. I am more inclined to think that the major reason is an ill-conceived white male reaction.

A fourth factor is just plain boredom and frustration. This applies particularly to the affluent age. Hernton has this to say: "With some white girls from wealthy liberal backgrounds, it is adolescent rebellion rather than any real desire to obliterate prejudice and segregation that seems to account for their intimacy with negroes." Hernton goes even further: "Many white women who have personal problems of a sexual nature become associated with liberal activities for but one purpose: to fraternise with black men."

There is one exception to the list of psychological factors in inter-racial sex—and one exception which Hernton does not discuss. It is the only factor accounting for actual genuine cases of mixed couples. It is important to mention it, for it is an exception that proves the rule, and it is particularly relevant to the British situation. White women who have been given a rough deal by nature and who have little hope of attention from a white male, may be forced to look for partnership with a negro. Perhaps it can be argued that it is not their fault—but it is not our fault either, and we should not have to bear the degeneration of our society for it.

The impression with which one is left after reading Hernton's book is that inter-racial sex exists ONLY because it is unnatural, because it is a perversion. It is almost surrealistic in that integration appears to be actually HELD BACK by black-white sexual relations! The most important conclusion that one must draw is that such relationships are almost always transitory.

It is worth noting that in America, where segregation is often strongly established, the incidence of inter-racial sex is far greater than it is in Britain. Perhaps the avalanche of liberal propaganda has succeeded in representing the negro as exactly the same as the white man in Britain—and destroyed that mystical image of the negro male which was his main attraction to the secret lusts of a perverted white woman. Yes, it's an ill wind that blows nobody any good!

BE A SPEARHEAD SUBSCRIBER

Ensure that you always get *Spearhead* promptly and regularly by becoming a subscriber. Fill in your name and address below and send it, with the required subscription, to: *The Circulation Manager, Spearhead, 10 Birkbeck Hill, London S. E. 21.*

Name

Address

Copy to be sealed / unsealed. Enclosed subscription of

RATES (12 issues): British Isles and Commonwealth: 18s.; Foreign: 18s. (unsealed), 31s. (sealed). 3 Copies: Brit. and Comm.: 46/6d.; Foreign: 46/6d. (unsealed), 73s. (sealed). 5 Copies: Brit. and Comm.: 77s.; Foreign: 77s. (unsealed), 133s. (sealed).

FRONT FACTS

WELSHED NATIONALISM

Alwyn Jones, one of the two Welsh nationalists killed by their own bomb the day before the Investiture, was married to a Jamaican nurse.

SCOTCHED NATIONALISM

One of the most active and enthusiastic members of the Scottish Nationalist Party in Stornoway, on the Isle of Lewis in the Hebrides, is also a member of the island's considerable Pakistani community.

BEAR-FACED LEFTERY

The West Berlin Film Festival in June ended in uproar when the Golden Bear (First Prize) and the Five Silver Bears (runners-up) were awarded for films distinguished, according to the critics, for their leftist ideology rather than for their artistic merit.

Which must have been pretty left — judging from the fact that the two British films of "high artistic merit" ignored by the judges were by Peter Hall and Richard Lester, two producers hardly noted for right-wing sympathies!

CEILING PRICE

That the Tiepolo Ceiling auctioned at Christie's recently should only fetch 390 thousand guineas caused a minor sensation. It may well be explained by the reluctance of a great number of dealers to participate in the bidding for fear of upsetting the powerful Jewish elements in international art dealing circles. The Tiepolo belonged to the Egyptian Embassy, which was only parting with it because of the dire need to raise funds for Egypt. The final bid barely topped the reserve — and for once the National Gallery gained an old master at a reasonable price.

SUFFER THE LITTLE CHILDREN

The Rev. Colin Hodgetts, honorary curate of St. Martins-in-the-Fields, London, and a director of the London School of Non-Violence, has produced a book which he wants substituted for the regular school hymn book. The latter hymnal is denounced by Hodgetts for its "hypocrisy and inaction on the great social and political struggles of our time" (which are, needless to say, racial equality, illegitimacy, Vietnam and Communism). Hodgetts is, however, rather worried that the kids may find it "too conservative". After having his work published by the over-anonymously named 'Religious Education Press', he scurried along to the Queen Elizabeth Hall, London, to present a play on race relations entitled 'Suffer And Die If We Have To'.

It may well come to that.

BLACK AND BLUE

In England and Wales (excluding the Metropolitan Police) there are:-

58 police — coloured community relations officers.

10 coloured police officers.

18 coloured special constables.

55 police officers studying, or proficient in, Asian languages.

RUMOUR

Gossips of the Left report that David Ennals, the Labour M.P. whose main concern is the race issue, has been sounding out the views of prominent leftists with an eye to agitating for the introduction of a bill which would make it illegal for anyone to suggest that there is any difference between the races.

BEARDY WEIRDY

The notoriously anti-white Tory controlled Wolverhampton Corporation has banned white bus drivers and conductors from growing beards and forbidden white conductresses from wearing headscarves. And this only four months after permitting both turbans and beards for Sikh busmen. Commented the local Transport and General Workers' Union secretary: "This has made the Sikhs into a privileged class".

CRIMINAL NEGLIGENCE

The crime rate in England and Wales rose last year by 6.8 per-cent, according to Home Office figures. Main increases were in cases of fraud (15.5 per-cent), crimes of violence (9.6 per-cent) and sex offences (4 per-cent). Less than half the reported crimes were solved. The murder rate rose for the third year running, and so far this year the rate of increase in the number of crimes of violence has DOUBLED.

These facts do not appear to mean anything to M.P.s, who are planning to permanently abolish capital punishment.

THE EIGHTH DEADLY SIN

"Forgive us our pride and our self-sufficiency": so said a prayer broadcast in the 'Morning Service' on BBC Radio 4 recently.

DIALECTICAL DEMOCRACY (Pt. 1)

Ex-Labour Minister, Christopher Mayhew, has been talking about his experiences at Oxford, where, he revealed, the Communists were unsurpassed in the field of vote-rigging. They were so smooth about it; Instead of going to the bother of all the vote-rigging technicalities, they simply counted the votes quite correctly — and read out their own prearranged figures!

DIALECTICAL DEMOCRACY (Pt. 2)

Two National Front shop stewards in a big North London engineering factory who had distributed NF leaflets at work introduced an anti-Race Relations Act motion for the A.E.U. Annual Conference, and organised a petition calling for repatriation of coloured immigrants.

They were approached by two communists who warned them to cease their evil activities or be booted out when they came up for re-election. The eventual voting figures for the NF pair: FOR: over 500; AGAINST: 2.

COMMUNITY RELATIONS

There was opposition at a meeting of Wandsworth Tory Association to a motion calling for a complete ban on immigration. Who constituted the opposition is unknown. Some other facts about Wandsworth: The housing adviser to the ultra-left Wandsworth Council for Community Relations is Mr. R. Whittington, a director of Wates the builders; the Chairman of Wandsworth Tory Association is Mr. R. W. Wates, a director of Wates the builders.

COLOUR SUPPLEMENT

The over-publicised Institute of Race Relations report "Colour and Citizenship" states that there are only one-and-a-quarter million coloureds in Britain: Race Relations boss, Frank Cousins, stated on I.T.V.'s 'News at Ten' that there were TWO-and-a quarter million coloureds in Britain.

NOTHING NEW ABOUT

United Europe

"OUT with the old order, and in with the great new idea of a United Europe," sing the advocates of the Common Market. But just how new is the idea of a United Europe?

Not only is the idea over 2,000 years old, but whenever any attempt has been made to implement it, war has been the result. The Roman Emperors, Roman Catholic leaders, Napoleon, Lenin and Hitler are just a few of the 'Europeans' who agitated for this great new idea.

NO WAY OUT

THE Treaty of Rome is unlike any other major treaty—there is no provision for withdrawal. Article 240 states: "This Treaty is concluded for an unlimited period." This means that, once in, Britain would have no legitimate right to withdraw. After we had been a few years in Europe, however, Article 240 would be unimportant: Britain would be so tied to the Continent, and her nationhood become so meaningless, that withdrawal would be impossible.

KEEP BRITAIN FREE

The question of whether B
Community is one of the greate
That Britain today faces a wh
should not conceal that fact bu
liberal establishment to drag B
systematic campaign for our nati

Mass coloured immigration an
to smash our **racial** identity.
crime, hooliganism, drug-takin
social fabric. The sell-out to In
independence. The dismantlem
White Dominions ends our **impe**
and involvement with NATO dea
ination. And the forced entry
the liberal-internationalist ra
identity.

-AND OUT OF THE COMM

Dictatorship

NOT a single member of any of the Common Market's four institutions—Assembly, Council, Commission and Court of Justice—is elected by popular vote.

The Assembly (European Parliament) is composed of M.P.s nominated (not elected) from national parliaments. It has little power.

The Council is the Cabinet of Europe and includes ministers of the various governments.

The Court of Justice would immediately have the power to completely alter and override the British legal system.

The Commission is the most powerful and sinister of the European institutions. It is a body of faceless bureaucrats endowed with enormous powers. It enforces regulations which are binding on all member countries, whatever those countries may wish. The Commission takes instructions from no government or other body.

Even if every one of the 630 Westminster M.P.s opposed a ruling by any one of the institutions, Britain, if a member, would have to toe the European line. British entry will mean one thing: the annihilation of a thousand years of national sovereignty, of guaranteed liberties and of self-determination. The replacement: DICTATORSHIP BY FOREIGNERS.

The Four Big Lies

In their attempt to drag Britain into Europe, the Labour, Liberal and Tory politicians have resorted to the 'Big Lie' technique.

Big Lie No. 1: ***Financial crisis, defence problems, and industrial failure will all be solved if Britain joins the Common Market.*** **The Truth:** Crises which Britain faces today are the result of the idiocy of Labour and Tory governments, not the result of Britain remaining outside Europe.

Big Lie No. 2: ***Britain will be free from the threat of Communism by joining Europe.*** **The Truth:** As far back as the days of Karl Marx and Lenin, Communists have agitated for a United States of Europe as the first step towards a one-world government.

Big Lie No. 3: ***Britain will be independent of American domination within Europe.*** **The Truth:** Every American President in the past 25 years has tried to bulldoze us into Europe under orders from the international financiers of Wall Street who stand to strengthen their hold on the nations of Europe.

Big Lie No. 4: ***The Commonwealth is no longer a world force, and a United Europe is the only alternative.*** **The Truth:** Although the coloured Commonwealth is finished, the old White Dominions of Australia, Canada, New Zealand, Rhodesia and South Africa can—and must—be formed into a real and effective British world system.

£3 per wee

"Joining Europe will only put about six
shillings on the weekly shopping bill,
claim the Marketeers. In fact, the cost t
the housewife is likely to be THREE POUNDS
— and with the rocketing prices of th
Common Market, it could well be more.

Today, the average Briton eats 3,25
calories per day: the average Europea

ritain should join the European Economic est issues in a thousand years of British history. ble series of threats to her national survival, t rather spotlight it. For the attempt of the itain into Europe is an integral part of the onal destruction.

d the tyranny of forced integration is designed The encouragemant by planned negligence of g, leftist rioting and degeneracy destroys our ternational Finance finishes off our **economic** ent of the Empire and the estrangement of the **rial** role. The run-down of our armed forces ls effectively with our **military** self-determ- f Britain into the Common Market will crown of Britain by annihilating our **national**

ON MARKET

THE BIG CHOICE

This is our chance... This will mean more controls, more... Socialist planning measures, more ...public ownership. — **Wilson.**

We should frankly recognise this surrender of sovereignty and its purpose... We accepted the Treaty of Rome unequivocally. — **Heath.**

WHAT THE PEOPLE SAY

IN the early sixties, attempts to get Britain into Europe met with a massive "NO" from the British people. Since then, the politicians have resorted to the time honoured method of wearing down resistance by talking about the "inevitablity" of the thing. In spite of these tactics, when the latest public opinion poll asked the nation: "Should Britain enter the Common Market?" it received these replies:-

Yes: 31 per cent, **NO: 47 per cent.**

JOBS: What Immigration and 'Rationalisation' mean

THE Treaty of Rome declares that there will be complete free movement of labour, and that any person has the right to move to another member country and stay there without restriction. That person is eligible to receive all social security benefits from the country in which he has decided to stay, regardless of what he has put into that country. It is not difficult to imagine an invasion of unemployed or badly-off Southern Europeans flooding into Britain on a scale which would dwarf the efforts of our coloured Commonwealth friends.

The effect on the British labour market would be disastrous, and unemployment amongst the British population would soar.

The most terrible effect of the Common Market on British labour would be the so-called 'Rationalisation of Industry' programme. This means the localisation of a particular industry on a vast scale in the area the EEC planners decide most favourable. With political considerations taken into account (and in view of the shocking cowardice of British political and trade union leaders), it is quite probable that the motor industry would be centred in Italy, the aircraft industry in France, and precision and heavy engineering in Germany. In these industries, as in many others, the British share would steadily decrease.

Of course, there would be no serious unemployment if the British worker were to do his European duty and uproot himself to travel to the appropriate part of the Continent where his skills might be welcomed.

THE LONE VOICE OF THE NATIONAL FRONT

Once again, the National Front is the ONLY party to represent the British people on a major issue. The NF campaign to keep Britain out of Europe includes demonstrations, debates, letters to the press and leaflet drives.

British Farmers Will Face Ruin

DESPITE Labour-Tory lethargy resulting in the halving of the number of farm workers in the past 30 years, the British farmer has managed to increase production by 70 per-cent over the same period.

How? By maintaining the most efficient farming system in the world, by protection of the home market, and by a basically sound system of grants and subsidies.

By being pitched into the chaos of European agriculture, the British farmer — particularly the smaller farmer — would be ruined.

Britain would be required to adopt the EEC policy of higher home prices (and no subsidies to farmers) with first place for our necessary imports going to dearer and sub-standard European produce instead of cheaper and high-quality produce from the Dominions. Any imports from these latter countries would be deliberately made dearer by import levies which would not be paid into the British Treasury, but to the funds of the EEC.

k on the family food bill

only 2,850 calories, so quality goes down, even though prices go up.

Some of the foodstuffs that will cost more:-

	Britain	EEC
Cream (pot)	1/4d.	2/3d.
Cheese (lb.)	3/3d.	4/0d.
Eggs (doz.)	4/0d.	7/0d.
Sugar (lb.)	10d.	1/3d.
Margerine (lb.)	1/11d.	2/3d.
Bread	1/7d.	2/0d.
Lamb (lb.)	5/7d.	16/6d.
Steak (lb.)	12/0d.	36/0d.
Chicken (lb.)	3/3d.	6/10d.
Butter (lb.)	3/9d.	9/0d.

SUICIDE OR SURVIVAL?

EVEN in these days of the farcical Commonwealth, there remains the nucleus of an imperial renaissance in the White Dominions. The campaign of estrangement from these British lands has been persued by Labour/Tory governments for the past 25 years, but so far has not achieved a permanent result. British entry into Europe would be the final nail in the coffin of the Empire.

Which is it to be? The destruction of Britain in the European melting pot, or the rebirth of Britain in a British world-system?

Next month Spearhead examines the idea of a modern British world-system.

MARTIN WEBSTER
Trouble shooting

RACE: LIBERALS RUN SCARED

The academic establishment of international liberalism is still smarting from the slap administered by Professor Arthur Jensen in last January's *Harvard Educational Review*.. Prof. Jensen, America's top educational psychologist, published findings from an exhaustive survey he carried out into the factors which determine human intelligence quotients. Contrary to the scientifically baseless pronouncements from such political bodies as UNESCO, Prof. Jensen proved that heredity, not environment, is the major factor which determines intelligence and ability. In establishing this, he revealed that American Negroes are on average 15 points down the I.Q. scale when compared with American Whites.

In presenting his paper, Prof. Jensen hinted at an academic masonry which attempts either to suppress investigations into the relationship between heredity and I.Q.s or to hide from the public the facts which such investigations reveal. The Press pretended to be scandalised at the suggestion that the academic establishment would go in for anything so fascist as suppression of scientific research, but the facts show that academic freedom is constantly undermined where the subject of race is concerned.

The latest suppression was inflicted by the American Academy of Sciences. Dr. William Shockly, the Nobel prize winning physicist, proposed last April (subsequent to the publication of Prof. Jensen's findings) that the Academy investigate whether the quality of America's population was being downgraded as a result of the accelerating and largely illegitimate birth-rate of Negroes with a low inborn intelligence; and that if Black people are on the average born with lesser mental powers than Whites, whether the American educational system should take this into account.

In turning Dr. Shockley's request down, Dr. Frederick Seitz, President of the Academy, said: "There is no scientific basis (sic) for a statement that there are or are not substantial hereditary differences in intelligence between Negro and White populations—and anyway, research along such lines is easily misunderstood in these times." If Dr. Seitz feels that there is no evidence available on the subject one way or the other, then that surely is the best possible moral and scientific reason for engaging in the research! But it seems that poor Dr. Seitz has been pressured by the "We'll smear you as a racialist" brigade.

Clearly, there is a conspiracy by forces politically devoted to multi-racialism to suppress the truth and to suppress freedom. It is the duty of men of goodwill of all races to fight and expose that conspiracy with all the means at their disposal—for the only alternative to truth and the freedom which it brings is slavery.

Slavery for all Mankind.

WHO KILLED COMRADE KING?

The authoritative 'Washington Observer' stated on January 5th 1968: "There is a fat FBI file on the Rev. Martin Luther King. Had the proper Congress committees exposed him he would not have been assassinated. He would now be in a Federal penetentiary." King was clearly the victim of a conspiracy —but whose conspiracy?

I suggest that in all probability King was killed by the very people who had promoted him in the Civil Rights movement. They knew that the facts of his association with no less than 60 Communist fronts were about to be made public. Alive, he would be an embarrassment; but as a dead martyr of 'racialism' he would serve, and has served, their cause very well.

A CATHOLIC FOR KING BILLY

When reporting the troubles in Northern Ireland, the 'National' Press constantly describes the motley of self-proclaimed Communist sympathisers, IRA gunmen, student Anarchists and public house brawlers simply as "Civil Rights SUPPORTERS", while those persons who demonstrate their loyalty to the Crown are scathingly written off as "flag waving Protestant EXTREMISTS". This is another example of the way the Press employs emotional jargon rather than facts in an attempt to short-circuit our critical faculties in situations where the actual facts of the matter embarrass the 'line' which it wishes to plug.

National Front supporters have also come to know this 'admass conditioning' technique. A few weeks ago a team of NF activists were heckling an Anti-Apartheid meeting in Trafalgar Square. The leftists became hysterical in the face of opposition and large groups of them, including Anarchists and Mao-Communists, linked arms and charged the NF group twice — but without success. This violent incident was filmed by an ITV camera in the pit of the Square and closely observed by the rest of the Press who were on the plinth of Nelson's Column. Yet the next day many papers reported: "National Front EXTREMISTS clashed with Police (sic) and SUPPORTERS of the Anti-Apartheid movement."

These two examples are not without significance. Experienced students of the news media are aware that the Press is not merely prone to bias, but that it is systematically biased in service of a perceptible trend of conditioning. The question then begs itself: if the Press is unanimously sympathetic to Communist-inspired anti-British elements in both Northern Ireland and England, how long can patriots in England stand aloof from the struggle of patriots in Northern Ireland?

I joined the nationalist struggle because I was convinced that there is being put into effect a programme to destroy Britain. I have met people who have arrived at this conclusion along different paths to my own. In Northern Ireland it is an historical accident that the pro-British sentiment is largely expressed by means of militant Protestantism. I have no wish to engage in religious controversy (I have no religious axe to grind —my mother is of Irish Catholic descent and I was educated at Catholic schools) but at this eleventh hour if the only people prepared to FIGHT to keep the British flag flying in Northern Ireland are militant Protestants, then I must support them, warts and all.

LEEDS
THE RACE RIOTS THE PRESS PLAYED DOWN

ON Monday, July 28th, Leeds experienced its first serious racial disturbance. On Sunday night, a young white man had been stabbed to death and a coloured man, Bhupinder Singh, charged with his murder.

Report by EDDIE MORRISON Leeds NF Organiser

Throughout the weekend there had been small skirmishes in the Burley Road area of the city and on Sunday night serious rioting broke out involving more than a thousand people.

Twenty-three people have been arrested under the Public Order Act. Four police officers were injured. A car belonging to a local resident was set on fire and completely burned out. Local shopkeepers, both coloured and white, had windows smashed by rival mobs.

Mr. Black, Bhupinder Singh's lawyer, was reported to have stated during examination of a police witness: "There is a simmering volcano of racial disharmony on the verge of erupting in the area."

In the court case it was revealed that vanloads of leftists had been driven into the riot area armed with staves, and stated their intention of fighting with the coloureds against the whites.

Local residents are locking doors and barricading windows. The streets are reported strewn with broken glass, rubbish and bricks.

According to local newspapers some coloureds have packed up and gone to Bradford. Others are asking for police protection. Police patrols are constantly in the area, awaiting the fresh disturbances that are expected.

Local newspapers also mentioned the disgusting tactics of national press photographers, some of whom had asked local youths to pose giving Nazi salutes. This was the basis of the *Daily Telegraph* report that youths were chanting Nazi slogans!

Mr. Muhajid Hussain, the Pakistani Government representative in the North of England, said he was surprised at the outbreaks of race violence, as only recently he had been boasting of the good record Leeds had had in the way of race harmony.

One man was quoted as saying that it was the worst outbreak of violence since the anti-Jewish riots of 1916 (the Jews form about 6 per-cent of the population of Leeds and are still completely segregated!)

Early press and television reports claimed that the National Front was responsible for the riots, quoting an alleged 'police investigation' into political motivations behind the riots. When questioned by National Front headquarters, Leeds Police stated that no such investigation was in progress, and furthermore none had ever been planned. Subsequently, corrections were made in T.V. news bulletins and press reports. Another bid to smear the NF had failed.

National Front policy remains the same: coloured immigrants are not to blame for the race problem in Britain; the politicians are. Attacks must therefore be directed against the politicians and not the immigrants.

Whatever the initial reasons for the outbreak, the fact remains that the area is a veritable powder-keg awaiting a spark. On July 28th one murder supplied it. There are hundreds of similar areas in Britain.

A frightening thought!

RECENT WEDDING...

...Which is the Bride?

JOHN BEAN

The dusky herrenvolk

HAVE you noticed how T.V. has considerably reduced those bleeding heart social documentaries on racial integration that used to be churned out with such monotonous regularity? Perhaps those whose intention it is to mould the public mind a la Marx found that their attempts at brain-washing were meeting with a growing mixture of contempt and derisory amusement from Mr. & Mrs. Average Viewer, who have more personal experience of the problems associated with immigration than the pale pink flowers of B.B.C. and I.T.V. studios, resulting in a rapid switch to the other channel.

Taking a lesson from the propagandists in the U.S.A., they have switched to a more subtle form of indoctrinating the people into accepting the inevitability of a racially mixed society. Nearly all T.V. plays, and espionage and crime serials in particular, now have a coloured person — usually a Negro — taking a prominent role. Never a villain, but always a hero. Never a menial worker, but a scientist, doctor or company executive.

"Take that bullet down to the lab," says the Police Inspector, "and Dr. Obongo will give us an answer."

The programme that takes the multi-racial biscuit, however, is the espionage serial "Department S". The big boss man of this hippy-type version of Interpol is always addressed as "Sir" or "Sir Clive". In one recent scene the noble baronet, attired in good English tweeds, is playing croquet on the lawn of an English country mansion. And "Sir Clive" is as black as midnight!

Do the purveyors of such puerile rubbish realise what harm they are doing to the coloured immigrant himself? The false hopes aroused by this continual presentation of the coloured man in only the best of occupations can only lead to his feeling more bitter, more frustrated and even less inclined to integrate with the British, who he will only assume are denying him his natural rights.

NF in action

Following great efforts by LEICESTER Nationalists, including a motorcade, mass leaflet distribution and much publicity in the local press, the inaugural meeting of the Leicester Branch, addressed by Martin Webster and John Tyndall, was attended by 40 people...... NF demonstration against the first trial under the new Race Relations Act attracted great attention in LEEDS..... a great march calling for an end to Common Market negotiations was held in LONDON...... another demonstration against Market held in BRISTOL...... activists rallied around home of CROYDON branch organiser, James Scott, after threats by leftists to march against his home...... Croydon Branch also refused permission to use Council halls after many peaceful meetings: no reason given...... John Tyndall addressed meeting in WORTHING..... ENFIELD branch reports eighty members gained in past threee months...... reception at LONDON hotel held for promising NF members...... followed up by weekend seminar at the Nationalist Centre attended by trainee NF leaders from all parts of the country...... Jeremy Thorpe welcomed by phalanx of NF members when he arrived at WALTHAMSTOW to open new Liberal H. Q. ...... uproar at inter-racial meeting when Race Relations boss Frank Cousins spoke— all part of NF BARKING campaign...... activists demonstrated at 'South African Freedom Day' rally at CAMDEN TOWN ...... dinner-suited internationalists arriving at Guildhall, in THE CITY, for an "into Europe dinner" treated to great array of NF banners...... heckling stopped council-subsidised play about two homosexual barbers in RICHMOND...... PORTSMOUTH branch reports steady growth...... NF branches shortly to be inaugurated in WEDNESBURY, DORCHESTER, WOLVERHAMPTON and CANTERBURY...... enthusiastic meeting of 40 marks launching of new HACKNEY branch...... social outing and march at BRIGHTON.

ENFIELD BOOMS

DIRECTORATE member Avril Walters addresses an enthusiastic meeting of Enfield Branch. On her right is Mr. Charles Gander, under whose leadership the branch has gained 80 members in the past three months. The branch has an efficient Press Relations Department which ensures that all activities—meetings, debates and demonstrations—get good coverage in the local Press.

One of Mr. Gander's most enthusiastic recruits is a Mr. Hull, Director of Universal Electric Motor Company (Enfield) Ltd. Mr. Hull's company encloses an NF leaflet with every letter it sends out. *The People*, Sunday newspaper tried to stop this good work by 'exposing' Mr. Hull. The result? Two more electrical companies have written to H. Q. asking for leaflets to distribute!

Europe NO!

IN July NF activists from the London area assisted by some others from the provinces held an impressive march through London in protest against the campaign to get Britain into the European Common Market. The marchers (pictured left) aroused great interest amongst the passing public and much support.

It is interesting to note that not one mention of the march was made in the national Press, including the Beaverbrook papers which claim to oppose British entry into Europe.

BUY BULK!

Help to spread SPEARHEAD by buying supplies for bulk distribution at special wholesale rate of 8d. per copy for all quantities of 50 or over.

East London NF Heckle Thorpe

NF Leadership Seminar

On the weekend of August 9th/10th a highly successful seminar was held at the Nationalist Centre, in London, as part of the National Front leadership training scheme.

The seminar was attended by over 40 NF officials from all over Britain (a section pictured, right) and covered the main subjects of policy as well as the problems of national and regional organisation.

After Martin Webster, National Activities Organiser, spoke on the fundamental case for British nationalism, John Tyndall, Editor of *Spearhead*, dealt with the NF approach to Britain's economic problems.

One of the main items of the course was a thorough examination of all the stock arguments of the political opposition and how to deal with them. This session, directed by Denis Pirie, proved most useful to all present.

Another lecture by Martin Webster dealt with general activities, and in particular the formation of local branches. John Cook, Deputy Organiser of East London and West Essex Branch, outlined factors in the success of his branch and dealt in particular with the art of publicity.

The course ended with a general address by NF Leader, A.K. Chesterton. This dealt, among many things, with the nature of internationalism, its methods and how to fight it.

Training for leadership, which represents a most important aspect of NF work, will continue in the form of further courses, covering many fields, and in particular it is hoped to arrange classes in public speaking and debating in the near future.

Courses are at present held in London but in time will extend to other areas.

PUBLIC MEETING

held on behalf of the

NATIONAL FRONT

at

CAXTON HALL · LONDON S.W.1

on

Friday, Sept. 12th 8 p.m.

THEME:-

BRITAIN FIRST

RIGHT OF ADMISSION RESERVED



LETTERS

SIR: Those who believe they can sign the Treaty of Rome without accepting federalism are deceiving themselves. Section 189 of that treaty very definitely makes national parliaments subordinate to regulations issued by the unelected central administration. Moreover, the whole object of what is being done is to bring this about and to secure centralised control of the nations by a supra-national power, using the mechanism of the money system to achieve it.

Something deliberate and very sinister is happening. Freedom and democracy, which this country has struggled to promote for hundreds of years and has been more successful than other nations in achieving, and which it fought two terrible wars to preserve, are now in imminent danger. The world is on its way to collective federation and dictatorship, promoted by the internationalist rulers. Let them deny it if they will, there is no getting away from the fact that they are supporting a subversive campaign for supra-national government under a system of central control destructive of national freedom and democracy. It is fundamental to democracy that absolute sovereign power is vested in the electorate, and that we have government according to the will of the people. We are witnessing a departure from this — even to the extent to which it has already been achieved.

K.F. WILLIAMSON
Hailsham, Sussex

SIR: The question of hanging is in the news again.

The vast majority of citizens want the death penalty brought back. There have been many cases of reprieved murderers being released and committing another murder. These deaths can be attributed to the dangerous agitation fomented by people of the type of the Late Lady Bonham Carter, who appear to be more concerned about callous murderers than with the victims of murder and their dependents, who in many cases are left penniless.

It is a known fact that in countries where the death penalty has been abolished the murder rate has soared. In Mexico, for instance, it has now reached between 40 and 50 a day. There was an increase of 75 per-cent in Switzerland when that country abolished capital punishment, and many countries which, under pressure from their 'do-gooders', were foolish enough to abolish it have been forced to restore it.

Our prison population is now overflowing. The burden of keeping murderers in prison should not fall on the taxpayers, 90 per-cent of whom would rather have the murderers hanged.

O. GILBERT
Worthing, Sussex

SIR: You call yourself National Front. You are more like the National Front of a cow's backside. People like you should never have been born. You are inhuman and uncivilised. I catch you saying such things and I'll shoot you.

WEST INDIAN ILL-WISHER
name and address witheld

SIR: This letter is anonymous because I am a serving police officer.

In these days of organised communism disguised as socialism, I feel I must express to the National Front that, besides myself, it has many sympathisers in the Police Force.

In the few years that I have been able to vote I have always voted Conservative, but I only agree with some of their policies. The Tory Party is under weak leadership and is disunited. I am in favour of Enoch Powell's policies on immigration and Duncan Sandys' reintroduction of Capital Punishment. Also I think that foreign aid should be abolished and the money saved spent on our own people. The social services are abused by layabouts, many of whom are immigrants, and should be reorganised. Parliament is corrupt and weak, hence the Sexual Offences Act of 1967 (the Queers' Charter).

I can assure the National Front of my vote should it stand in the next general election in my constituency in East London. I know a lot of my colleagues would vote for it too, but they do not think it is yet strong enough. They therefore intend to vote Conservative only because they are the only party that can oust the Labour Government.

POLICEMAN
name and address witheld

SIR: What a lot of nonsense from the Press and T.V. about the American moon-landing!

Almost every political commentator tried to twist the thing round to give a liberal-internationalist slant to it by calling it an achievement of 'mankind'. In fact, just a small section of mankind contributed to the landing on the moon. The astronauts and scientists involved were almost exclusively Americans of British, German or Scandinavian stock. The genius that enabled this monumental achievement to take place was none other than the genius of the one great racial group to which the world owes almost all its major discoveries — the White European.

'Mankind', so-called, did nothing but sit and watch — at least that part of it that was not complaining for the money to be spent on encouraging further proliferation of peoples who, on their own, could not even invent the wheel — let alone land on another planet.

L.R. DEAN
Norwich

SIR: I must convey to you my appreciation of the recent issue of your journal. I have read many issues over the past two years and this is without doubt the best.

Keep up the good work!

Mrs. W. BIRCH
Farnham, Surrey

SIR: Congratulations on your last issue of *Spearhead*. I have always enjoyed reading it but thought that the June/July number was very much more appealing to the average reader.

Mrs. M. COGGINS
Winchmore Hill, Middx.

SIR: The last edition of *Spearhead* is the best you have ever published! I have complete and great satisfaction and delight and total agreement with every single article!

M.B. DONNELLY
Manchester

SIR: *Spearhead* is, in my view, streets ahead of the official Tory papers in layout, design, script and photographs.

M. FORSYTH-GRANT
Montrose

SIR: I would like to compliment you on the latest issue of *Spearhead*. The format is generally more impressive.

R. MOLESWORTH
Cheltenham

SIR: The last *Spearhead* was one of the best yet. I particularly congratulate the design editor for improved layout. I am also glad to see more coverage of National Front news.

DENIS PIRIE
London S.E.1.

Powell & Sandys — White Hopes of the Right?

WE are indebted, believe it or not, to Paul Foot, left-wing extremist and co-editor of *Private Eye*, for an interesting revelation of the record of Enoch Powell on the race issue. In a new book, *Black Powell*, Foot tells us:

1) That Powell's first known statement in support of immigration control wasn't made until 1964, and even that is believed to have been an anonymous article in *The Times*.

2) That during the 16 months following the 1966 election, when the numbers of Commonwealth immigrants entering the country continued at a rate of 50,000 a year, Powell made no recorded speeches demanding further immigrant control.

3) That, in fact, it wasn't until the early 1960s that the race question became a real issue in Wolverhampton. That when a local pressure group advocating a ban on immigration was formed in 1961 Powell refused to back their demands.

4) That Powell's claim that he changed his mind about immigration in 1965 because his 'new facts' about the immigrant birth-rate in Wolverhampton weren't previously available, is wrong. In fact, crucial figures had been available for three years in the official health report for the borough.

The conclusion of the author from these facts is that the motives of Enoch Powell are not moral but political. As public opinion has hardened on the race issue, an anti-immigration programme has become a vote-winner rather than a vote-loser.

At the risk of offending the many pro-Powell elements among our readership, we must agree. Such people as A.K. Chesterton, John Bean and your present Editor were sounding warnings about the race problem well over 10 years ago and were scorned and vilified for their troubles. Only when these predictions had been proved right by events and it had ceased to be unpopular to face up to the race problem, did our politicians come out of their funkholes and leap on the bandwaggon.

That does not mean that we should not welcome Powell's change of attitude. It simply means that we should not look to the politicians of the 'establishment' to give a real lead in anything.



DUNCAN SANDYS is another figure who has installed himself on the 'Right-Wing' of the Tory Party because of his views on immigration (like Powell's, rather belatedly expressed) and capital punishment.

Recently, Mr. Sandys wrote a letter to *The Times* which did much to enlighten us as to his true political colouring.

The letter affirmed the Streatham M. P.'s strong support for British entry into the Common Market, and moreover went far further in its pledge to internationalism.

"There will be cries of alarm," says the letter, "from those who, while paying lip service to the European idea, wish in their hearts to maintain national sovereignty unimpaired. They will say that this road leads inevitably to the establishment of a United States of Europe with a Federal Parliament and Government exercising supra national powers, not only in economic affairs but also in the sphere of foreign policy and defence.

"Of course they are quite right. That is what uniting Europe means; though it will naturally not all happen overnight......

"Viewed from a still wider angle, the unification of Europe will contribute to the realisation of what is generally regarded as an idealistic dream, but what is becoming an increasingly urgent practical necessity—namely the establishment of some form of world government."

We should be thankful to Mr. Sandys for putting his cards on the table. Since one half of the world is communist, the world government which he anticipates with such relish is going to be in large part a communist government. This is what happened with most of the governments set up in Eastern Europe just after the last war, notably that of Czechoslovakia. The communists started by being the partial, not the complete, controllers. We all know what happened later.

Though the terms 'right' and 'left' have today become rather meaningless, the 'Right-Wing' is generally supposed by those who adhere to that term to embrace, among other things, the principle of national sovereignty—as against the internationalism of the Left.

Let this statement of faith serve as a warning to those well meaning people in the Tory Party who desperately want to persuade themselves that there are men among their leaders who are still faithful to 'right-wing' principles.

Because we again and again have refused to be drawn into the clamour of applause that has greeted men of the established parties whenever they have made non-leftist statements, we have been termed 'anti-everybody'. We have no wish to be this, but as far as the top men of those parties are concerned we cannot be otherwise. The ambiguity of their policies and the feebleness of their attitudes, together with their uniform willingness to compromise with the forces bent on wrecking this country, makes NONE of them—and we repeat, NONE of them—a fit candidate for the support of patriotic Britons.

Liberal Insanity

The mentality of our modern day Liberals is more than just sick. In many cases it is quite insane.

In *News Commentary*, the Liberal Party organ, an article appeared entitled 'In Memory of John Harris'. John Harris, just to remind you, was the gentleman who let off a bomb in Johannesburg railway station as a protest against Apartheid, killing an old woman and maiming a young girl.

The article on Harris is sheer eulogy. Martyrdom is assuredly his. "John (!!!) was an intensely idealistic non-racialist," croons the author, "......who believed fervently in the brotherhood of man...... He died because of impatience with the intolerance of the racialist police-state in which he lived.

"John Harris did not kill an old woman and maim a young girl with his petrol bomb—South Africa did. His action was an inevitable consequence of the Apartheid system.

"Personally his death marked the end of a young man eager for life; of a man who engendered great enthusiasm among his fellow liberals in his untiring struggle for human dignity and freedom in South Africa ......Nationally, his death was a damning indictment against South Africa and its Apartheid system."

If our readers find it difficult to give credence to this article and imagine it to be a fabrication of our own propaganda we will quite understand. The article, written by Peter Hain, can be inspected at our office at any time.

For comment other than that, what words are adequate?

JOHN TYNDALL

Letter of the Month

Spearhead publishes the best letter to the press on National Front policy every month. Send your cutting to us not later than the 15th. of the month. You could win a £1 Nationalist Books voucher. This month's winner (below) was published in the *Essex County Standard*.

Rally the nation to deny the lie of British guilt!

THE Labour, Liberal, and Conservative parties have shown themselves not only incapable of solving our economic and political problems. They have grievously betrayed the trust which the British placed in them.

Consider for example the recent Race Relations Act, which forbids free speech on the big immigrant problem. How long before the three main parties decide that some other problem should not be discusssed freely? Such as capital punishment, the Common Market, drug addiction.

In these cases the views of the electorate's majority are in complete opposition to those of the Government and progressive liberal minority. Public opinion polls can be used as a rough guide.

The official figures on immigration (50,000 only were supposed to have come in last year) are highly suspect. Airport staff claim that 47,000 passed through Heathrow airport alone (Daily Telegraph). This figure does not cover illegal immigrants, who came through that airport with a high variety of dodges. How many came in via other legal and illegal points of entry throughout Britain?

Communist influence is having a profound effect in the three main parties, the teaching profession, and so-called Christian Aid organisations whose humanity is extremely selective. For years these liberal progressives have been imbuing our people with a sense of false guilt, telling us we're responsible for the hunger, starvation, disease and inter-racial strife that exists throughout these dictatorial Afro-Asian world, when most historic facts disproves this lie!

Quote: An Englishman is afraid of nothing. But he is mortally afraid of his own conscience. If ever you appeal to it, make him feel in the wrong and guilty, he will win your battle for you. Thus Gandhi in a letter to a friend (1922).

There is urgent need for a movement of national regeneration to reverse these fatal trends, which are more sinister and destructive than any Britain has faced before.

M. K. HUGHES
Colchester Branch Organiser
National Front,
12 Port Lane,
Colchester.

All patriots should read

CANDOUR

The British Views-Letter

Edited by A. K. Chesterton

Which supports the

NATIONAL FRONT

Published by the Candour Publishing Co.,
11 Palace Chambers, Bridge Street, London, S.W.1

"The New Unhappy Lords"

An exposure of power politics
By A. K. CHESTERTON

Cloth-Bound Edition 25/-
Paper-back Edition 10/6

THE NEW UNHAPPY LORDS is a must for the bookshelf of any student of modern politics. It represents the most lucid and startling of all commentaries on the methods of subversion being used to undermine Britain (from Nationalist Book Centre)

THE Nationalist Book Centre

10 BIRKBECK HILL
LONDON S.E.21
01-670-0118

Send for Our Free Catalogue NOW!

NF BADGES

Available to members only

Price 4s. (plus 6d. postage)

Write to:-
11 PALACE CHAMBERS
BRIDGE STREET, LONDON S. W. 1.

CAR WINDOW STICKERS

"JOIN THE NATIONAL FRONT"
"SUPPORT RHODESIA"

Obtainable from:—
THE NATIONALIST CENTRE
BIRKBECK HILL, LONDON S. E. 21.

Printed and published by Albion Press, London S. E. 21